

September 23, 2007

Commander, US Pacific Fleet
Attention: Fleet Environmental Officer,
Building 251
Pearl Harbor, Hawaii
96860

Dear Sir,

I am writing in regards to the Programmatic Environmental Assessment/Overseas Environmental Assessment for Undersea Warfare Exercise within the Hawai'i Range Complex (USWEX EA/OEA). In terms of my background relevant to this issue, I have been studying cetaceans since 1986, have a Ph.D. in Biology (1994), served as a member of the IUCN Cetacean Specialist Group (1992-1998), the Committee of Scientific Advisors for the Society for Marine Mammalogy (1995-2001), and the Marine Mammal Advisory Committee of the Western Pacific Fishery Management Council (2005-present), and have been undertaking research on cetacean populations around the main Hawaiian Islands since 1999. My research in Hawaiian waters has involved examining stock structure, estimating population sizes, and studying diving behavior, ecology and social organization of more than 10 species of odontocetes, as well as studies of the diving behavior of humpback whales. I have published a number of papers and reports pertinent to understanding potential impacts of anthropogenic activities on these populations (see www.cascadiaresearch.org/robin/hawaii.htm).

I have reviewed relevant sections of the Navy's Programmatic Environmental Assessment/Overseas Environmental Assessment and Finding of No Significant Impact for the USWEX exercises, the After-Action Report from RIMPAC 2006, relevant sections of the HRC DEIS/OEIS, and most publications and reports available on cetacean populations in Hawaiian waters, among other documents. I have a number of concerns regarding the analyses and measures outlined in the USWEX EA/OEA in regards to potential impacts on marine mammal populations, outlined below.

1. Do the lack of documented strandings associated with prior naval exercises in Hawai'i mean no impacts have occurred?

The USWEX EA/OEA bases conclusions on the potential for impacts from future naval exercises in Hawai'i in part on the relative lack of observed impacts from prior naval exercises. Faerber and Baird (2007a, 2007b) address the question of whether the lack of beaked whale strandings in Hawai'i in relation to military exercises mean no impacts have occurred. A number of recent cetacean strandings have been linked to naval exercises, particularly involving mid-frequency sonar. Two species most affected are Cuvier's and Blainville's beaked whales. In 22 years there have been six such strandings in the Canary Islands, yet none have occurred in the Hawaiian Islands, despite the existence of regular naval exercises in the islands and resident

populations of both species of beaked whales (McSweeney et al. 2007). The USWEX EA/OEA and other assessments of potential impacts of ongoing naval exercises in Hawai‘i have used the lack of mass strandings to imply that there have been no past impacts. Faerber and Baird (2007a, 2007b) hypothesize that the likelihood of a dead or moribund beaked whale stranding, and the probability of a stranded animal being detected, differ between the Canary and Hawaiian Islands. They examined near-shore bathymetry, shoreline slope, human population densities, fringing reef presence, ocean currents, sea surface temperature, and the presence of large scavenging sharks. The Canary Islands have a greater proportion of beaked whale “habitat” (depths >650 m) closer to shore (10.6% versus 6.3% within 3-km of shore), with a steeper slope (avg. slope Canaries -134m/km, Hawai‘i -95 m/km). Hawai‘i is dominated by steeper (>50°) shoreline cliffs (6% of shorelines vs. <1% for Canaries), human population density is 28% of that in the Canaries, and population per kilometer of shoreline is 53% of that in the Canaries. Fringing reefs are common around the main Hawaiian Islands, while such reefs do not form in the Canaries. Suitable habitat closer to shore, more accessible coastlines, lack of fringing reefs, lower water temperature with slower currents, and increased human population densities all suggest moribund or dead beaked whales are more likely to strand and be detected in the Canary Islands than in the Hawaiian Islands. Faerber and Baird (2007b) thus conclude that a lack of mass strandings in the Hawaiian Islands cannot be used to indicate a lack of impact.

In addition, a lack of sightings of dead floating whales or dolphins in monitoring efforts does not indicate that animals have not been killed. Most species of whales and dolphins (with the exception of sperm whales and right whales) usually sink upon death. If animals die in shallow water, decomposition processes may eventually result in the carcass re-floating (where it has a chance of being detected). In deep waters, however, increased hydrostatic pressure and differences in gas solubility may prevent carcasses from re-floating (Allison et al. 1991). Given that beaked whales and other potentially at risk species typically inhabit deep waters in Hawai‘i, if an individual is killed the carcass may not re-float where it could be detected.

2. The use of a 190 dB threshold for behavioral effects or potentially mortality of beaked whales is not supported by available information.

Modeling and controlled exposure experiments show that the sound level required to trigger behavioral response is much lower than the behavioral threshold adopted by the Navy (190 dB) and NMFS (173 dB). Controlled playbacks with killer whales in Norway that were tagged and exposed to mid-frequency sonar signals demonstrated that individuals displayed unusual diving patterns, ceased feeding and fled the ensonified area at received levels near 150 dB (Kvadsheim et al. 2007). Modeling of the predicted sound exposures of beaked whales associated with the 2000 stranding event in the Bahamas (Balcomb and Claridge 2001) suggest that stranded animals received sound pressure levels of between 145 and 169.3 db (Hildebrand and Balcomb 2004; Balcomb 2006), suggesting that at least in the case of beaked whales, such sound pressure levels may result in mortality.

3. Mitigation measures outlined are ineffective at detecting long-diving and cryptic species

The USWEX EA/OEA assumes that the measures it proposes will mitigate impacts on marine mammals. The “protective measures related to acoustic effects” (Section 5.1) outlined primarily involve a combination of visual and passive acoustic detection methods for the presence of marine mammals around vessels operating mid-frequency active sonar. However, a number of species of odontocetes found in Hawaiian waters dive for extended periods. For

example, Blainville's and Cuvier's beaked whales have been documented diving for periods of up to 83 and 94 minutes, respectively (Baird et al. 2006, Baird unpublished), and regularly dive for periods of 50-60 minutes. Short-finned pilot whales may dive for periods of up to 27 minutes in Hawai'i (Baird unpublished), and dwarf and pygmy sperm whales dive for extended periods (>10 minutes). According to the best available estimates, fewer than 2% of beaked whales would likely be detected by visual observations as outlined in the USWEX EA/OEA, even when directly on the ship's trackline (Barlow and Gisiner 2006). The detection rate would approach zero for beaked whales occurring one km away (Barlow and Gisiner 2006). The USWEX EA/OEA suggest that monitoring by passive sonar would allow detection of cetaceans, however the probability of locating all or most toothed whales through passive acoustic monitoring is extremely low. There is currently no information available on the sounds produced by some species of Hawaiian odontocetes (e.g., dwarf sperm whales) so it would be impossible to train passive sonar operators to detect these sounds. No information is available on the proportion of time individuals of most species spend producing sounds, of the sound pressure levels of vocalizations (and thus the potential distance at which they might be detected), or on the depths at which sounds are produced (some species, such as beaked whales, may only vocalize at depth). Information presented in the RIMPAC 2006 After Action Report documents the ineffectiveness of the Navy's passive acoustic monitoring. In this report it is noted that there were 29 instances where marine mammals were detected, 28 visually (at least 20 from ships) and only one acoustically. The fact that there was only a single acoustic detection and at least 20 ship-based visual detections indicates that passive acoustics are unlikely to be an effective means of monitoring marine mammal presence (and thus mitigating impacts) around naval vessels in Hawai'i. Given that passive acoustics are the primary method the Navy intends to use to detect marine mammals at night (and thus mitigate impacts), impacts at night will be impossible to avoid.

4. The USWEX EA/OEA does not fully take into account evidence of population structure when assessing risks to populations.

Understanding and predicting the impacts of anthropogenic activities on protected species such as marine mammals requires knowledge of population structure. If populations are fragmented into a number of smaller demographically isolated units, and some of these units are more exposed to anthropogenic activities, the impacts of anthropogenic activities on populations may be greater than otherwise predicted. In Hawaiian waters, population structure has been examined for only four species of odontocetes: false killer whales, short-finned pilot whales, bottlenose dolphins, and spinner dolphins. Genetic evidence from all four of these species indicates the presence of demographically-isolated island-associated populations (Andrews et al. 2006; Chivers et al. 2003, 2007; Martien et al. 2005). Given the high levels of site fidelity that have been documented for melon-headed whales, pygmy killer whales, Blainville's beaked whales, Cuvier's beaked whales, and rough-toothed dolphins (Huggins et al. 2005; McSweeney et al. 2005, 2007; Webster et al. 2005), it is likely that if sufficient genetic samples were available from these populations there would be similar evidence of demographically isolated island-associated populations. As such, instead of potentially impacting a small proportion of a number of widely-ranging populations of odontocetes, naval exercises around the main Hawaiian Islands have the potential to impact a large proportion of individuals in a number of relatively small island-associated populations. High levels of site fidelity documented from photo-identification suggest that if individuals were killed due to anthropogenic activities re-colonization from other populations would not occur quickly.

5. Data collected as part of the marine mammal exercise monitoring plan should be used to assess the effectiveness of the monitoring effort.

The USWEX EA/OEA notes that there will be an evaluation of the monitoring program's ability to detect whales but no information is presented on how this will be done. Information presented in the RIMPAC After Action Report was insufficient to assess the efficacy of the visual monitoring, because no information was presented on the number of hours of visual monitoring that was undertaken and the total number of vessels/observers monitoring. To assess the efficacy of such visual monitoring, information on effort (number of vessels, number of observers, number of hours observed, and sea conditions during observations), and the number of sightings of each species must be recorded and reported. This would allow independent assessment of the efficacy of the monitoring, by comparing sighting rates (by species) to independent survey data from the Hawaiian Islands, to estimate what proportion of marine mammals in the operating area the observers are detecting.

Sincerely,



Robin W. Baird, Ph.D.
Research Biologist
E-mail rwbaird@cascadiaresearch.org

References

- Allison, P.A., C.R. Smith, H. Kukert, J.W. Deming, and B.A. Bennett. 1991. Deep-water taphonomy of vertebrate carcasses: a whale skeleton in the bathyal Santa Catalina Basin. *Paleobiology* 17:78-89.
- Andrews, K.R., L. Karczmarski, W.W.L. Au, S.H. Rickards, C.A. Vanderlip and R.J. Toonen. 2006. Patterns of genetic diversity of the Hawaiian spinner dolphin (*Stenella longirostris*). *Atoll Research Bulletin* 543:65-73.
- Baird, R.W., D.L. Webster, D.J. McSweeney, A.D. Ligon, G.S. Schorr, and J. Barlow. 2006. Diving behaviour of Cuvier's (*Ziphius cavirostris*) and Blainville's (*Mesoplodon densirostris*) beaked whales in Hawai'i. *Canadian Journal of Zoology* 84:1120-1128.
- Balcomb, K.C. 2006. Statement of Kenneth Balcomb for report of the Marine Mammal Commission advisory committee on acoustic impacts on marine mammals, Feb. 1, 2006. Available at <http://www.mmc.gov/sound/>.
- Balcomb, K.C., and D.E. Claridge. 2001. A mass stranding of cetaceans caused by naval sonar in the Bahamas. *Bahamas Journal of Science* 5:1-12.
- Barlow, J., and R. Gisiner. 2006. Mitigating, monitoring and assessing the effects of anthropogenic sound on beaked whales. *Journal of Cetacean Research and Management* 7:239-249.

- Chivers, S.J., R.G. LeDuc and R.W. Baird. 2003. Hawaiian island populations of false killer whales and short-finned pilot whales revealed by genetic analyses. In Abstracts of the 15th Biennial Conference on the Biology of Marine Mammals, Greensboro, NC, December 2003.
- Chivers, S.J., R.W. Baird, D.J. McSweeney, D.L. Webster, N.M. Hedrick, and J.C. Salinas. 2007. Genetic variation and evidence for population structure in eastern North Pacific false killer whales (*Pseudorca crassidens*). *Canadian Journal of Zoology* 85:783-794.
- Faerber, M.M., and R.W. Baird. 2007a. Beaked whale strandings in relation to military exercises: a comparison between the Canary and Hawaiian Islands. Presentation at the 21st Annual Conference of the European Cetacean Society, San Sebastian, Spain, April 22-27, 2007. Presentation available at www.cascadiaresearch.org/robin/hawaii.htm
- Faerber, M.M., and R.W. Baird. 2007b. Does a lack of beaked whale strandings in relation to military exercises mean no impacts have occurred? A comparison of stranding and detection probabilities in the Canary and Hawaiian Islands. Abstract submitted to the 18th Biennial Conference on the Biology of Marine Mammals, Cape Town, South Africa, November-December 2007. (accepted for an oral presentation)
- Hildebrand, J. and K.C. Balcomb. 2004. Modeling the Bahamas beaked whale stranding of March 2000. Presentation to the third plenary meeting of the Marine Mammal Commission advisory committee on acoustic impacts on marine mammals, San Francisco, California, July 29, 2004. Available from <http://www.mmc.gov/sound/plenary3/pdf/hildebrand-balcomb.pdf>
- Huggins, J., R.W. Baird, D.L. Webster, D.J. McSweeney, G.S. Schorr and A.D. Ligon. 2005. Inter-island movements and re-sightings of melon-headed whales within the Hawaiian archipelago. Presentation at the 16th Biennial Conference on the Biology of Marine Mammals, San Diego, CA, December 2005.
- Jefferson, T.A., D. Fertl, M. Michael, and T.D. Fagin. 2006. An unusual encounter with a mixed school of melon-headed whales (*Peponocephala electra*) and rough-toothed dolphins (*Steno bredanensis*) at Rota, Northern Mariana Islands. *Micronesica* 38:239-244.
- Kvadsheim, P., F. Benders, P. Miller, L. Doksaeter, F. Knudsen, P. Tyack, N. Nordlund, F.P. Lam, F. Samarra, L. Kleivane and O.R. Godo. 2007. Herring (sild), killer whales (spekkhogger) and sonar – the 3S-2006 cruise report with preliminary results. Forsvarets forskningsinstitutt/Norwegian Defence Research Establishment.
- Ligon, A.D., R.W. Baird, D.L. Webster, D.J. McSweeney, G.S. Schorr. 2007. Habitat preferences of melon-headed whales (*Peponocephala electra*) around the main Hawaiian Islands: implications for interpretation of the 2004 Hanalei Bay stranding event. Abstract submitted to the 18th Biennial Conference on the Biology of Marine Mammals, Cape Town, South Africa, November-December 2007. (accepted for a poster presentation)
- Martien, K.K., R.W. Baird and K.M. Robertson. 2005. Population structure of bottlenose dolphins (*Tursiops sp.*) around the main Hawaiian Islands. Presentation at the 16th

Biennial Conference on the Biology of Marine Mammals, San Diego, CA, December 2005.

- McSweeney, D.J., R.W. Baird, D.L. Webster, G.S. Schorr and S.D. Mahaffy. 2005. Requirements for conservation action? Small population size, high site-fidelity, strong associations, and uncertainty: pygmy killer whales off the island of Hawai'i. Presentation at the 16th Biennial Conference on the Biology of Marine Mammals, San Diego, CA, December 2005.
- McSweeney, D.J., R.W. Baird and S.D. Mahaffy. 2007. Site fidelity, associations and movements of Cuvier's (*Ziphius cavirostris*) and Blainville's (*Mesoplodon densirostris*) beaked whales off the island of Hawai'i. *Marine Mammal Science* 23:666-687.
- Rankin, S., T.F. Norris, M.A. Smultea, C. Oedekoven, A.M. Zoidis, E. Silva, and J. Rivers. 2007. A visual sighting and acoustic detections of minke whales, *Balaenoptera acutorostrata* (Cetacea: Balaenopteridae), in nearshore Hawaiian waters. *Pacific Science* 61:395-398.
- Webster, D.L., R.W. Baird, D.J. McSweeney, A.D. Ligon and G.S. Schorr. 2005. High site-fidelity of a deep-water dolphin: rough-toothed dolphins in the Hawaiian archipelago. Presentation at the 16th Biennial Conference on the Biology of Marine Mammals, San Diego, CA, December 2005