

Using dolphins to catch tuna: assessment of interactions between pantropical spotted dolphins and hook and line fisheries in Hawai‘i

Robin W. Baird¹ and Daniel L. Webster

Cascadia Research Collective
218 ½ W. 4th Avenue
Olympia, WA 98501

Direct interactions between cetaceans and fisheries typically fall into one of two categories: cetaceans deliberately taking catch from fishermen’s lines and sometimes getting hooked or entangled as a result, or unintentionally swimming into fishing gear (e.g., nets or trap lines), potentially resulting in entanglements and death. A third type of cetacean/fishery interaction involves fishermen actively seeking out cetaceans in order to catch associated fish. Associations between tuna (*Thunnus spp.*) and several species of tropical dolphins, in particular pantropical spotted dolphins (*Stenella attenuata*), have been exploited in fisheries in a number of areas around the world to increase their catches of tuna (Donahue and Edwards 1996; Scott et al. 2012). This type of association is most well-known in the eastern tropical Pacific, where groups of dolphins have been seine netted to catch the associated tuna, leading to considerable scrutiny of tuna/dolphin interactions and the impact of the fishery on dolphin populations (Joseph 1994).

In nearshore Hawaiian waters there are a variety of small scale commercial and recreational hook and line fisheries (Nitta and Henderson 1993). The exact number of recreational fishermen is unknown, but there are over 3,000 commercial fishermen, each holding a State “Commercial Marine License”. Information on interactions between dolphins and nearshore fisheries in Hawaiian waters is limited. Most of the interactions between dolphins and nearshore fisheries have been of rough-toothed dolphins (*Steno bredanensis*), common bottlenose dolphins (*Tursiops truncatus*), or false killer whales (*Pseudorca crassidens*) taking bait or catch (Shallenberger 1981; Schlaik 1984; Nitta and Henderson 1992). But as noted by Shallenberger (1981), the relationship between yellow tuna (*Thunnus albacares*) and pantropical spotted dolphins in Hawai‘i “is used by some local fishermen who troll for tuna near the [spotted dolphin] schools, and anecdotal information suggests that some hooking of pantropical spotted dolphins occurs (Rizzuto 2007; Bradford and Lyman 2015; Baird 2016). Pantropical spotted dolphins are one of the most abundant delphinid species around the main Hawaiian Islands (Barlow 2006), and are found both in relatively shallow waters (<100 m depth) and deep offshore waters (Baird et al. 2013; Bradford et al. 2017; Baird and Webster 2019). Four stocks are recognized in Hawaiian waters, a pelagic stock, and three insular stocks, one each off O‘ahu, Maui Nui (including Moloka‘i, Lāna‘i, Maui and Kaho‘olawe), and Hawai‘i Island (Courbis et al. 2014; Carretta et al. 2018).

Under the Marine Mammal Protection Act the National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS) is required to categorize all fisheries in the United States based on the level of serious injury and mortality of marine mammals that occurs in each fishery². A Category III fishery is

¹rwbaird@cascadiaresearch.org

²<https://www.fisheries.noaa.gov/national/marine-mammal-protection/marine-mammal-protection-act-list-fisheries>

defined as having a “remote likelihood or no known incidental mortality and serious injury of marine mammals”, while a Category II fishery has “occasional incidental mortality and serious injury of marine mammals”, defined in relation to the abundance levels of the stocks of marine mammals that interact with a fishery. In 2011 NMFS proposed elevating two fisheries in Hawai‘i, the “Hawai‘i Charter Vessel” and the “Hawai‘i Trolling, Rod and Reel Fishery” from Category III to Category II fisheries, based on fishing techniques and anecdotal reports of hooking of pantropical spotted dolphins (Department of Commerce 2011a). However, in response to public comments received on this proposal, NMFS did not elevate the fisheries, in part because of the lack of quantitative information available to assess interactions between fishing vessels and pantropical spotted dolphins in Hawaiian waters (Department of Commerce 2011b).

Over the course of a long-term multi-species study of odontocetes in Hawaiian waters, we have recorded information on the presence and type of fishing vessels interacting with pantropical spotted dolphins and other species of odontocetes. Given the existence of multiple populations of pantropical spotted dolphins in Hawaiian waters, and the potential for such fisheries interactions to influence populations, we present and analyze information on observations of fishing vessels associated with dolphin groups to help inform management of fisheries interactions. In particular, we: 1) assess the frequency of fishing vessels associated with pantropical spotted dolphin groups by island and identify particular areas or habitats where interactions occur most often; 2) characterize the broad categories of fishing methods used in association with dolphin groups (e.g., trolling through groups); 3) estimate the number of fishing vessels that fish in association with dolphin groups off the island of Hawai‘i; and 4) examine the seasonality of fishing vessel/dolphin associations.

Methods

Information on small-boat field efforts are presented in Baird et al. (2013) and thus will only be briefly summarized. Field efforts were undertaken throughout the main Hawaiian Islands with short (1-6 week) efforts off one or more islands each year. All groups of odontocetes sighted were approached for species identification, recording location (using a GPS), and estimation of group size. Sighting locations were processed with R to determine depth using package raster (Hijmans 2017) and distance from shore using package rgeos (Bivand and Rundel 2017). Encounter durations varied depending on a variety of factors, including funding priorities for the field effort, time of day, and information on the presence of higher priority species in the area, but typically we would only spend between 10 and 20 minutes with a group.

Data protocols in relation to recording of information on fishing vessels are summarized in Table 1. Beginning in 2006 the spatial extent of the group, the “group envelope”, was recorded as X and Y dimensions (e.g., 300 x 500 m). From 2002 through the end of 2005 there was ad hoc recording of fishing vessels present with groups of pantropical spotted dolphins (hereafter used interchangeably with spotted dolphins or dolphins), while in 2006 we began systematically recording the presence/absence (and number) of fishing vessels with spotted dolphin groups. Vessels were noted as associated with the dolphin group if they were within or immediately adjacent (i.e., <100 m) to the dolphin group envelope. Starting in 2008 survey protocols were modified and we avoided changing course in response to clusters of fishing

vessels, to reduce bias, as clusters of fishing vessels not associated with fish aggregating devices (FADs) often indicate the presence of spotted dolphin groups. Analyses regarding the proportion of spotted dolphin groups with fishing vessels present were thus restricted to 2008 through 2018.

Prior to August 2012 information on the behavior of fishing vessels around spotted dolphin groups was recorded on an ad hoc basis. Starting in August 2012 we systematically recorded whether vessels fished only around the outside of groups or were observed either trolling through the group or “repositioning”. Vessels that were “green stick” fishing were categorized as trolling. Repositioning was defined as a vessel transiting (typically at high speed) through the dolphin group to the leading edge of the group, with the vessel then stopping, deploying lines and fishing as the dolphin group passed, typically on either side of the vessel. Based on observations of fishing activity from repositioning vessels the majority of repositioning vessels were palu-ahi (baited handline) fishing.

Starting in 2002 photos were taken of fishing vessels associated with spotted dolphin groups on an ad hoc basis. From 2011 through 2016 photographs of all fishing vessels within dolphin groups were taken. We developed a photo-identification catalog of vessels that fished in association with spotted dolphin groups following the same protocol as used for delphinid photo-identification catalogs (e.g., Mahaffy et al. 2015). Characteristics used to individually identify vessels include registration numbers and letters on the side of commercially licensed vessels, lettering (i.e., names) on tour vessels, and for vessels lacking obvious lettering or numbering, the coloration and configuration of the hull, cabin, and trim of the vessels. From the catalog we determined the total number of unique vessels that had been documented fishing in association with spotted dolphin groups, and for two pairs of years (2011 and 2012, and 2012 and 2013), we estimated the total number of vessels fishing in association with dolphins using the Lincoln-Petersen mark-recapture method (Seber 2002), producing estimates for 2012 and 2013.

Results and Discussion

From 2008 through 2018 we had 720 days of field effort around the main Hawaiian Islands, covering 88,271 km of trackline (Table 2). Effort varied by island, with the greatest amount of time spent off Hawai‘i Island. We encountered spotted dolphins on 360 occasions. Encounter duration ranged from less than one minute to 6 h 2 min (median=9 min). Sighting rates (# sightings/100 km effort) were similar within the ranges of the three insular stocks: O‘ahu – 0.590; Maui Nui – 0.668; Hawai‘i Island – 0.464 (Table 2). Spotted dolphin sighting rates were an order of magnitude lower off Kaua‘i and Ni‘ihau (0.042 sightings/100 km effort), reflecting that there appears to be no resident population off those islands (Courbis et al. 2014; Baird and Webster 2019).

Overall, 24.7% of spotted dolphin groups encountered had fishing vessels associated with the dolphin group. The proportion of dolphin groups with fishing vessels varied by island area: Kaua‘i and Ni‘ihau – 0%; O‘ahu – 14.6%; Maui Nui – 2.8%; Hawai‘i Island – 29.7% (Table 3). The number of fishing vessels associated with dolphin groups also varied by island area: O‘ahu, median=1, range=1-4; Maui Nui, median/range=1; Hawai‘i Island, median=2, range=1-19 (Table 3). Group sizes off all islands ranged from one to an estimated 400 individuals (median=60; n=360). Group sizes of spotted dolphins off Hawai‘i Island with fishing vessels

present (median=90; n=82) were significantly larger (Mann-Whitney U-test, $p < 0.0001$; Figure 1), than those with no fishing vessels present (median=50; n=194), which may reflect the ease of detecting larger spotted dolphin groups.

The number of spotted dolphin groups off O‘ahu and Maui Nui with fishing vessels present (n=7) was insufficient to assess spatial patterns; thus examination of spatial patterns was limited to sightings off Hawai‘i Island. Spotted dolphin groups with no fishing vessels present were broadly distributed along the entire west coast of Hawai‘i Island and offshore, while groups with fishing vessels present were primarily documented off the central and southern coast of the island (Figure 2). This area corresponds both with the main boat harbor along the west side of the island (Honokohau Harbor) and boat ramps at Kailua-Kona, Keauhou Harbor, Honaunau and Miloli‘i.

Although the median depth and distance from shore was similar for groups of spotted dolphins with and without fishing vessels present, groups of spotted dolphins with fishing vessels present were found in a narrower range of depths and distance from shore than those without fishing vessels present (Figure 3). In particular, there were few sightings of spotted dolphins with fishing vessels present in shallow water (<1,000 m), or in very far offshore waters (i.e., greater than ~15km). The lack of fishing vessels with spotted dolphin groups far offshore likely reflects the additional fuel cost of traveling offshore, while the relative lack of vessels with spotted dolphins in shallower water may reflect patterns of association between yellowfin tuna and the dolphins themselves. In the eastern tropical Pacific associations between yellowfin tuna and pantropical spotted dolphins do vary in response to oceanographic conditions (Scott et al. 2012), although there have been no studies of factors influencing this relationship in Hawaiian waters.

Results from the photo-identification catalog of fishing vessels revealed that 141 unique vessels were documented fishing with spotted dolphin groups between 2002 and 2015 off Hawai‘i Island. Of these, 42 (29.8%) were seen with spotted dolphin groups on more than one occasion. Of the 42, 28 were seen in multiple years, with the longest span of a fishing vessel resighted associated with a spotted dolphin group of 6.9 years. Although the rate of discovery of new vessels fishing with dolphin groups has slowed, the discovery curve has not leveled off (Figure 4), indicating that our survey efforts were insufficient to document all or the vast majority of vessels that fish in association with spotted dolphin groups off the island. Lincoln-Petersen estimates of the number of fishing vessels fish with spotted dolphin groups were 162 vessels ($SD = 12$) for 2012, and 336 vessels ($SD = 17$) for 2013.

Off Hawai‘i Island survey effort since 2008 has been restricted to nine months of the year from April through December. Effort during the month of September has been limited, resulting in only a single spotted dolphin sighting, thus presentation of seasonal distribution of groups with fishing vessels present has been restricted to the other eight months during the April to December period (Figure 5). These months span all four oceanographic seasons in Hawai‘i (Flament 1996), allowing for a robust assessment of whether fishing in association with spotted dolphins in Hawai‘i is restricted by season. During these months the proportion of dolphin groups with fishing vessels with the group has varied from 15.8% to 43.6% of groups, although there was no obvious seasonal trend (Figure 5). As noted, there was no effort off Hawai‘i Island during January to March. However, during field efforts off O‘ahu and Maui Nui in those months

there were encounters of spotted dolphin groups with fishing vessels present (off O‘ahu in January and Maui Nui in March).

An assessment of the behavior of fishing vessels around dolphin groups was undertaken with data collected from August 2012 through the end of 2016 (n=24 encounters with vessels present). Trolling through the group was documented in 22 of 24 encounters (91.7%), with from 1 to 15 vessels engaged in this behavior within an encounter. Repositioning was documented in 13 encounters (12 of which also had vessels trolling through), with from 1 to 8 vessels engaged in this behavior. Trolling around groups was documented in three encounters, all of which also had vessels either trolling through or repositioning (or both). There was only one case where a fishing vessel approached the dolphin group and went around the perimeter of the group without fishing within the group envelope.

Comments received in response to NMFS’ 2011 proposal to elevate several fisheries in Hawai‘i from having a “remote likelihood” of serious injury or mortality (Category III) of spotted dolphins to having “occasional” mortalities or serious injuries (Category II) noted uncertainty on a number of topics. This included insufficient quantitative information available to draw conclusions regarding the frequency of interactions with spotted dolphins, that vessels fished in front of dolphin groups rather than within the groups themselves, and that there may be a seasonal component to the interactions, among others (Department of Commerce 2011a, 2011b). Our results are directly relevant to these issues, as we provide quantitative information that addresses the frequency and nature of interactions between fishing vessels and spotted dolphins in Hawaiian waters. We have shown that vessels fishing in association with spotted dolphin groups do so most regularly off Hawai‘i Island, that these interactions occur throughout the year (Figure 5), and that a relatively large number of vessels (Figure 4) utilize this fishing strategy to try to catch tunas. Even though some vessels would occasional troll around a dolphin group, those same vessels would also fish within the dolphin’s group envelope. Thus the presence of gear in the water around the dolphins provides some risk of hooking or entanglement.

While our results provide quantitative information on the frequency and nature of interactions between fishing vessels and pantropical spotted dolphin groups in Hawai‘i, there are still major data gaps that need to be addressed to understand whether the occasional hooking and/or entanglements that are known to occur (e.g., Rizzuto 2007; Bradford and Lyman 2015; Baird 2016) approach the level that fishery reclassification is warranted. First, abundance estimates are needed for the insular stocks of pantropical spotted dolphins in Hawai‘i. This is particularly the case for the Hawai‘i Island stock, since almost a third of all pantropical spotted dolphin groups off that island have fishing vessels present (Table 3). Whatever abundance estimation method is used should take into account the possibility that relative abundance of spotted dolphins may be greater off the leeward sides of the islands, as suggested by Pittman et al. (2015). Additional satellite tagging data (see Baird and Webster 2019) could help determine to what degree spotted dolphin spatial use around the island conforms to the areas where interactions with fishing vessels have been documented, to help in assessing risk. Second, information is clearly needed on how frequently hookings or entanglements of pantropical spotted dolphins occur, as well as the outcome of such interactions. Many fishers are obviously reluctant to self-report such interactions, and with the large number of vessels that fish in

association with spotted dolphin groups and the likely low rate of hooking a dolphin for any individual fishermen, traditional observer programs are unlikely to be effective at documenting such interactions. A more productive approach is needed to understand whether such rare interactions could rise to the level where fishery re-categorization is warranted. Photographs of individual spotted dolphins with trailing gear are rare (e.g., Baird 2016). Assessing mouthline or other injuries that may reflect previous cases of individuals being hooked or entangled (e.g., Baird et al. 2014, 2017) would provide evidence of individuals surviving hooking or entanglement, but does not inform how often mortality may occur as a result of hooking. Estimating survival rates based on photo-identification of distinctive individuals may be a productive approach, and photographs have been collected as part of ongoing studies, but a photo-identification catalog has not yet been established for this species in Hawaiian waters.

Acknowledgements

Field work was undertaken under NMFS Scientific Research Permits No. 731-1509, 731-1774 and 15330. A number of individuals assisted in the field, but we particularly thank Kim Wood, Greg Schorr, Colin Cornforth and Jessica Aschettino, as well as Erin Keene for developing the fishing vessel catalog. Field work was funded by a variety of sources, including grants from the Pacific Island Fisheries Science Center, Office of Naval Research, NOAA Fisheries Bycatch Reduction Engineering Program, a NOAA Species Recovery Grant (to the State of Hawai‘i), the Hawai‘i Ocean Project, an anonymous donation, and from contracts from the U.S. Navy as part of the Marine Species Monitoring Program.

Literature Cited

- Baird, R.W. 2016. The lives of Hawai‘i’s dolphins and whales: natural history and conservation. University of Hawai‘i Press, Honolulu.
- Baird, R.W., and D.L. Webster. 2019. Movements of satellite-tagged pantropical spotted dolphins in relation to stock boundaries in Hawaiian waters. Document PSRG-2019-15 presented to the Pacific Scientific Review Group, 5-7 March 2019, Olympia, WA.
- Baird, R.W., D.L. Webster, J.M. Aschettino, G.S. Schorr and D.J. McSweeney. 2013. Odontocete cetaceans around the main Hawaiian Islands: habitat use and relative abundance from small-boat sighting surveys. Aquatic Mammals 39:253-269.
- Baird, R.W., S.D. Mahaffy, A.M. Gorgone, T. Cullins, D.J. McSweeney, E.M. Oleson, A.L. Bradford, J. Barlow and D.L. Webster. 2014. False killer whales and fisheries interactions in Hawaiian waters: evidence for sex bias and variation among populations and social groups. Marine Mammal Science doi: 10.1111/mms.12177.
- Baird, R.W., S.D. Mahaffy, A.M. Gorgone, K.A. Beach, T. Cullins, D.J. McSweeney, D.S. Verbeek and D.L. Webster. 2017. Updated evidence of interactions between false killer whales and fisheries around the main Hawaiian Islands: assessment of mouthline and dorsal fin injuries. Document PSRG-2017-16 submitted to the Pacific Scientific Review Group.

- Barlow, J. 2006. Cetacean abundance in Hawaiian waters estimated from a summer/fall survey in 2002. *Marine Mammal Science* 22:446-464.
- Bivand, R., and C. Rundel. 2017. Rgeos: interface to geometry engine – open source ('GEOS'). R package version 0.3-26.
- Bradford, A.L. and E. Lyman. 2015. Injury determinations for humpback whales and other cetaceans reported to the Hawaiian Islands Disentanglement and Pacific Islands Marine Mammal Response Networks during 2007-2012. NOAA Technical Memorandum NOAA-TM-NMFSPIFSC-45, 29 p. doi:10.7289/V5TX3CB1.
- Bradford, A.L., K.A. Forney, E.M. Oleson, and J. Barlow. 2017. Abundance estimates of cetaceans from a line-transect survey within the U.S Hawaiian Islands Exclusive Economic Zone. *Fishery Bulletin* 115:129-142.
- Carretta, J.V., K.A. Forney, E.M. Oleson, D.W. Weller, A.R. Lang, J. Baker, M.M. Muto, B. Hanson, A.J. Orr, H. Huber, M.S. Lowry, J. Barlow, J.E. Moore, D. Lynch, L. Carswell, and R.L. Brownell Jr. 2018. U.S. Pacific Marine Mammal Stock Assessments: 2017. US Department of Commerce. NOAA Technical Memorandum NMFS-SWFSC-602.
- Courbis, S., R.W. Baird, F. Cipriano, and D. Duffield. 2014. Multiple populations of pantropical spotted dolphins in Hawaiian waters. *Journal of Heredity* 105:627-641.
- Donahue, M. A., and E. F. Edwards. 1996. An annotated bibliography of available literature regarding cetacean interactions with tuna purse-seine fisheries outside of the eastern tropical Pacific Ocean. NMFS Southwest Fisheries Science Center Admin. Rep. LJ-96-20. 53 pp.
- Department of Commerce. 2011a. List of fisheries for 2012. Proposed Rule. *Federal Register* 72(124):37716-37750.
- Department of Commerce. 2011b. List of fisheries for 2012. Final Rule. *Federal Register* 76(229):73912-73953.
- Flament, P. 1996. The ocean atlas of Hawai'i. University of Hawai'i. Available from <http://radlab.soest.hawaii.edu/atlas/>.
- Hijmans, R.J. 2017. Raster: geographic data analysis and modeling. R package version 2.6-7.
- Joseph, J. 1994. The tuna-dolphin controversy in the eastern Pacific Ocean: biological, economic, and political impacts. *Ocean Development and International Law* 25:1-30.
- Mahaffy, S.D., R.W. Baird, D.J. McSweeney, D.L. Webster and G.S. Schorr. 2015. High site fidelity, strong associations and long-term bonds: short-finned pilot whales off the island of Hawai'i. *Marine Mammal Science* doi: 10.1111/mms/12234.

Nitta, E.T., and J.R. Henderson. 1993. A review of interactions between Hawaii's fisheries and protected species. *Marine Fisheries Review* 55:83-92.

Pittman, S.J., A.J. Winship, M. Poti, B.P. Kinlan, J.B. Leirness, R.W. Baird, J. Barlow, E.A. Becker, K.A. Forney, M.C. Hill, P.I. Miller, J. Mobley, and E.M. Oleson. 2016. Chapter 6: Marine mammals - cetaceans. pp. 227-265. In: B.M. Costa and M.S. Kendall (eds.), *Marine biogeographic assessment of the main Hawaiian Islands*. Bureau of Ocean Energy Management and National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration. OCS Study BOEM 2016-035 and NOAA Technical Memorandum NOS NCCOS 214. 359 pp.

Rizzuto, J. 2007. Big fish await HIBT teams. *West Hawaii Today* 39(218): 1B, 4B.

Schlais, J.F. 1984. Thieving dolphins – a growing problem in Hawaii's fisheries. *Sea Frontiers* 30-5:293-298.

Scott, M.D., S.J. Chivers, R.J. Olson, P.C. Fiedler and K. Holland. 2012. Pelagic predator associations: tuna and dolphins in the eastern tropical Pacific Ocean. *Marine Ecology Progress Series*. 458:283-302.

Seber, G.A.F. 2002. The estimation of animal abundance and related parameters. Second edition. Blackburn Press.

Shallenberger, E.W. 1981. The status of Hawaiian cetaceans. Final report to U.S. Marine Mammal Commission. MMC-77/23, 79pp.




Figure 1. Box plot of group sizes of spotted dolphin groups without (left) and with (right) fishing vessels present off the island of Hawai‘i, restricted to encounters from 2008 through 2018. The line drawn through the middle of the box represents the median of the data, while the top and bottom of the boxes represent the first and third quartile. The lines extend to represent the lowest and highest values, excluding outliers (represented by *). Outliers are values that are more than 1.5 times the inter-quartile range.




Figure 2. Distribution of survey effort (yellow lines) off Hawai'i Island from 2008 through 2018, with sighting of pantropical spotted dolphins (white squares) with (top) and without (bottom) fishing vessels present.




Figure 3. Box plots of distance from shore (top) and depth (bottom) of spotted dolphin groups without (left) and with (right) fishing vessels present off the island of Hawai‘i, restricted to encounters from 2008 through 2018. The line drawn through the middle of each box represents the median of the data, while the top and bottom of the boxes represent the first and third quartile. The lines extend to represent the lowest and highest values, excluding outliers (represented by *). Outliers are values that are more than 1.5 times the inter-quartile range.




Figure 4. A discovery curve (dashed line) of fishing vessels documented fishing within the group envelope of pantropical spotted dolphin groups off Hawai‘i Island from 2008-2015. The one-to-one line (solid line) is also shown.




Figure 5. Seasonal variability in the proportion of pantropical spotted dolphin groups with fishing vessels present off the island of Hawai'i, using data from 2008 through 2018. There was no survey effort during the months of January through March off Hawai'i Island during this time frame, thus no ability to assess fishing vessels with groups during that period. A single encounter from September, with a fishing vessel present, was excluded.

Table 1. Data recording protocol in relation to spotted dolphin interactions with fishing vessels.

Years	Protocol change
2002-2005	Ad hoc recording of fishing vessels present, some photos
2006-present	Systematic recording of presence/absence of fishing vessels and # present
2008-present	Avoiding changing course for clusters of fishing vessels to reduce bias
2011-2016	Obtaining photos of all fishing vessels present with groups (photos analyzed only through 2015)
2012-2016	Recording # fishing vessels at start, # joining/leaving (time of joining/leaving), # at end, behavior of fishing vessels (trolling through/around, re-positioning), # seen throughout day
2013-2016	Recording distance to closest fishing vessel at start if none present with group
2014-2016	Recording distance to closest fishing vessel at end if none present with group, # game fish seen throughout day

Table 2. Survey effort and pantropical spotted dolphin sightings by island from 2008 through 2018.

Island area	No. survey days	No. effort hours	No. effort km	No. spotted dolphin sightings	Sightings per 100 km effort
Kaua‘i/Ni‘ihau	146	955	16,445	7	0.042
O‘ahu	61	418	6,943	41	0.590
Maui Nui	51	285	5,386	36	0.668
Hawai‘i	462	3,494	59,496	276	0.464

Table 3. Fishing vessels associated with pantropical spotted dolphin sightings by island from 2008 through 2018.

Island area	No. (%) sightings with fishing vessels present	No. of fishing vessels with groups median* (range)
Kaua‘i/Ni‘ihau	0 (0)	N/A
O‘ahu	6 (14.6)	1 (1-4)
Maui Nui	1 (2.8)	1 (1)
Hawai‘i	82 (29.7)	2 (1-19)

*Median value considering encounters with at least one fishing vessel